arXiv:math/0503615v2 [math.OA] 10 Dec 2005

DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS ON HILBERT C^*-MODULES

GH. ABBASPOUR TABADKAN, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, AND A. NIKNAM

Abstract. We investigate the generalized derivations and show that every generalized derivation on a simple Hilbert C^*-module either is closable or has a dense range. We also describe dynamical systems on a full Hilbert C^*-module $\mathcal{M}$ over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as a one-parameter group of unitaries on $\mathcal{M}$ and prove that if $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to U(\mathcal{M})$ is a dynamical system, where $U(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the set of all unitary operator on $\mathcal{M}$, then we can correspond a C^*-dynamical system α' on $\mathcal{A}$ such that if δ and d are the infinitesimal generators of α and α' respectively, then δ is a d-derivation.

1. Introduction.

A Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebraic left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with an $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is $\mathcal{A}$-linear in the first and conjugate linear in the second variable such that $\mathcal{M}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{1/2}$. The Hilbert module $\mathcal{M}$ is called full if the closed linear span $\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}\rangle$ of all elements of the form $\langle x, y\rangle$ $(x, y \in \mathcal{M})$ is equal to $\mathcal{A}$.

Hilbert C^*-modules are first introduced and investigated by I. Kaplansky [5], M. Rieffel [13] and W. Paschke [12]. They are a generalization of Hilbert spaces, but there are some differences between these two classes. For example, each operator on a Hilbert space has an adjoint, but a bounded $\mathcal{A}$-module map on a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module is not adjointable in general [6].

In this paper, we investigate the generalized derivations. This notion was first appeared in the context of operator algebras [7]. Later, it was introduced in the framework of pure algebra [4]. We shall show that every generalized derivation δ on a simple Hilbert C^*-module $\mathcal{M}$ either is closable or has a dense range in $\mathcal{M}$. This is a generalization of a result of A. Niknam [10].

We also describe dynamical systems on a full Hilbert C^*-module $\mathcal{M}$ over a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as a one-parameter group of unitaries on $\mathcal{M}$ and prove that if $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to U(\mathcal{M})$ is a dynamical

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D03; Secondary 46L08, 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert C^*-module, semigroup of operators, dynamical system, generalized derivation.

system, then we can correspond a C^*-dynamical system $\alpha^{'}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that if δ and d are the infinitesimal generators of α and $\alpha^{'}$ respectively, then δ is a d-generalized derivation.

The reader is referred to [6] for more details on Hilbert C^*-modules and to [14] for more information on C^*-dynamical systems.

2. Preliminaries.

Throughout this section $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are assumed to be Hilbert modules over C^*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of C^*-algebras.

A map $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is said to be a φ-morphism of Hilbert C^*-modules if

$$\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle = \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle) \ (x, y \in \mathcal{M})$$

Applying the polarization we can immediately conclude that Φ is a φ-morphism if and only if $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x) \rangle = \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Each φ-morphism is necessarily a linear operator since for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(\alpha x + y), \Phi(z) \rangle &= \alpha\varphi(\langle x, z \rangle) + \varphi(\langle y, z \rangle) \\ &= \alpha\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(z) \rangle + \langle \Phi(y), \Phi(z) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\langle \Phi(\alpha x + y) - \alpha\Phi(x) - \Phi(y), \Phi(z) \rangle = 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{M}$. If we replace z with x, y and $\alpha x + y$ then we can infer that

$$\langle \Phi(\alpha x + y) - \alpha\Phi(x) - \Phi(y), \Phi(\alpha x + y) - \alpha\Phi(x) - \Phi(y) \rangle = 0$$

Thus $\Phi(\alpha x + y) - \alpha\Phi(x) - \Phi(y) = 0$ and therefore $\Phi(\alpha x + y) = \alpha\Phi(x) + \Phi(y)$. Similarly every φ-morphism is necessarily a module map in the sense that $\Phi(ax) = \varphi(a)\Phi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Further, let $\psi : \mathcal{B} \to C$ be a morphism of C^*-algebras and let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a Hilbert C^*-module over C. If $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a φ-morphism and $\Psi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{Q}$ is a ψ-morphism, then obviously $\Psi\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{Q}$ is a $\psi\varphi$-morphism of Hilbert C^*-modules.

Following [2] we call a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ a unitary operator if there exists an injective morphism of C^*-algebras $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that Φ is a surjection φ-morphism.

Remark 2.1. **(i)** If $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ be a φ-morphism of Hilbert C^*-modules and φ is injective then Φ is an isometry; cf. [2]. Thus each unitary operator of Hilbert C^*-modules is necessarily an isometry.

(ii) It is known [2] that if $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a φ-morphism of Hilbert C^*-modules then $Im\Phi$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{N}$ and a Hilbert C^*-module over the C^*-algebra $Im\varphi \subset \mathcal{B}$

such that $\langle Im\Phi, Im\Phi\rangle = \varphi(\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}\rangle)$. Moreover if Φ is surjective, and if $\mathcal{N}$ is a full $\mathcal{B}$-module, then φ is also surjective. Thus if Φ is a unitary then it is surjective and hence $\langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}\rangle = \varphi(\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}\rangle) \simeq \langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}\rangle$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{N}$ is a full Hilbert $\mathcal{B}$-module, then φ is surjective and so it is an isomorphism of C^*-algebras.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Then $\mathcal{H}$ can be regarded as a $K(\mathcal{H})$-module via $T \cdot x = T(x)$ $(T \in K(\mathcal{H}), x \in \mathcal{H})$. If we define a $K(\mathcal{H})$-inner product on $\mathcal{H}$ via $\langle x, y\rangle = x \otimes y$ $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, where $x \otimes y(z) = (z, y)x$ $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the complex inner product on $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ can be regarded as a Hilbert C^*-module over $K(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a unitary as an operator on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if U is a unitary operator on Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module $\mathcal{H}$ in the above sense. This is a consequence of the facts that $\langle U(x), U(y)\rangle = U(x) \otimes U(y) = U(x \otimes y)U^*$, and that $AdU : K(\mathcal{H}) \to K(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $AdU(V) = UVU^*, V \in K(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-isomorphism and each $*$-isomorphism on $K(\mathcal{H})$ is of this form; cf. [9].

We denote by $U(\mathcal{M})$ the group of all unitary operators of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is full and $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary operator then by Remark 2.1.ii there is a $*$-isomorphism $\alpha' : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that α is a α'-morphism.

We end this section with the following useful lemma which can be found in [1] and [8].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a full Hilbert module over the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $a = 0$ if and only if $ax = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.*

3. Generalized Derivation

This section is devoted to study of generalized derivations. Our aim is to show that every generalized derivation δ on a simple Hilbert C^*-module either is closable or has a dense range.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be full Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module. A linear map $\delta : D(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, where $D(\delta)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{M}$, is called a generalized derivation if there exists a mapping $d : D(d) \to \mathcal{A}$, where $D(d)$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $D(\delta)$ is an algebraic left $D(d)$-module, and $\delta(ax) = a\delta(x) + d(a)x$ for all $x \in D(\delta)$ and all $a \in D(d)$.

In this case d must be a derivation since for any $a, b \in D(d)$ and $x \in D(\delta)$ we have

$$\delta(abx) = ab\delta(x) + d(ab)x.$$

On the other hand

$$\delta(abx) = \delta(a(bx)) = a\delta(bx) + d(a)bx = ab\delta(x) + ad(b)x + d(a)bx,$$

whence

$$(d(ab) - (ad(b) + d(a)b)x = 0$$

for all $x \in D(\delta)$. Thus by Lemma 2.3 we obtain $d(ab) = ad(b) + d(a)b$ since $D(\delta)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$.

Similarly we can show that d is linear so $d : D(d) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a derivation. We call δ a d-derivation.

Denote by $GDer(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all generalized derivations on $\mathcal{M}$. Then it is easy to see that $GDer(\mathcal{M})$ is a linear space. In fact if $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in GDer(\mathcal{M})$, δ_1 is a d_1-derivation and δ_2 is a d_2-derivation, then $\alpha\delta_1 + \beta\delta_2$ is a $\alpha d_1 + \beta d_2$-derivation and so $\alpha\delta_1 + \beta\delta_2 \in GDer(\mathcal{M})$. Also the Lie product $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ is $[d_1, d_2]$-derivation and so $[\delta_1, \delta_2] \in GDer(\mathcal{M})$.

Now we show a similar result as in [10] for generalized derivations:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simple full Hilbert C^*-module in the sense that it has no trivial left $\mathcal{A}$-submodule and let $\delta : D(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a d-derivation. Then either δ is closable or the range of δ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma(\delta)$ be the separating space of δ that is

$$\sigma(\delta) = \{x \in \mathcal{M}; \text{there is a sequence } (x_n) \text{ in } D(\delta) \text{ with } x_n \to 0, \delta(x_n) \to x\}$$

It is obvious that $\sigma(\delta)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{M}$. We show that $\sigma(\delta)$ is a left submodule of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \sigma(\delta)$ thus there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq D(\delta)$ such that $x_n \to 0$ and $\delta(x_n) \to x$ so we have $ax_n \to 0$ and $\delta(ax_n) = a\delta(x_n) + d(a)x_n \to ax$
Thus $ax \in \sigma(\delta)$. By the hypothesis $\sigma(\delta) = \{0\}$ or $\sigma(\delta) = \mathcal{M}$. Therefore δ is closable or range δ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$. □

4. Dynamical Systems On Full Hilbert C^*-Modules

We start this section with a basic definition.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a full Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module. A map α from the real line $\mathbb{R}$ to $U(\mathcal{M})$ which maps t to α_t is said to be a one-parameter group of unitaries if

(i) $\alpha_0 = I$

(ii) $\alpha_{t+s} = \alpha_t\alpha_s$ $(t, s \in \mathbb{R})$

Further, α is said to be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of unitaries if, in addition, $\lim_{t\to 0}\alpha_t(x) = x$ in the norm of $\mathcal{M}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. In this case we call α a dynamical system on $\mathcal{M}$.

We can define the infinitesimal generator of a dynamical system as follows:

Definition 4.2. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to U(\mathcal{H})$ be a dynamical system on $\mathcal{M}$, we define the infinitesimal generator δ of α as a mapping $\delta : D(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ where

$$D(\delta) = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\alpha_t(x) - x}{t} \text{ exists}\}$$

and

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\alpha_t(x) - x}{t},\ x \in D(\delta).$$

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a full Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module, α be a dynamical system on $\mathcal{M}$ and δ be the infinitesimal generator of α. Then $D(\delta)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ and there exists a derivation $d : D(d) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $D(\delta)$ is a left $D(d)$-module and $\delta(ax) = a\delta(x) + d(a)x,\ a \in D(d), x \in D(\delta)$.*

Proof. By Hille-Yosida theorem [3], $D(\delta)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{M}$. Since α is a dynamical system on $\mathcal{M}$ then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping $\alpha_t : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a unitary. So there exists $*$-isomorphism $\alpha_t^{'} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle \alpha_t(x), \alpha_t(y)\rangle = \alpha_t^{'}(\langle x, y\rangle)$ and hence $\alpha_t(ax) = \alpha_t^{'}(a)\alpha_t(x)$ $(a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{M})$.

Now we show that $\alpha' : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathcal{A})$ is a C^*-dynamical system. For each $a \in \mathcal{A},\ x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have $ax = \alpha_0(ax) = \alpha_0^{'}(a)\alpha_0(x) = \alpha_0^{'}(a)x$ thus by Lemma 2.3 $\alpha_0^{'}(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore $\alpha_0^{'} = I$.

Also for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{t+s}^{'}(a)\alpha_{t+s}(x) &= \alpha_{t+s}(ax)\\
&= \alpha_t(\alpha_s(ax))\\
&= \alpha_t(\alpha_s^{'}(a)\alpha_s(x))\\
&= \alpha_t^{'}(\alpha_s^{'}(a))\alpha_t(\alpha_s(x))\\
&= \alpha_t^{'}(\alpha_s^{'}(a))\alpha_{t+s}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\alpha_{t+s}^{'}(a) = \alpha_t^{'}(\alpha_s^{'}(a))$. Thus $\alpha_{t+s}^{'} = \alpha_t^{'}\alpha_s^{'}$.

Since for each $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $\alpha_t(x) \to x$ as $t \to 0$ we have

$$\|\alpha_t^{'}(a)x - ax\| \leq \|\alpha_t^{'}(a)x - \alpha_t^{'}(a)\alpha_t(x)\| + \|\alpha_t^{'}(a)\alpha_t(x) - ax\|.$$

Thus $\lim_{t\to 0} \alpha_t^{'}(a)x = ax$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ whence $\lim_{t\to 0} \alpha_t^{'}(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Therefore $\alpha' : \mathbb{R} \to Aut(\mathcal{A})$ is a C^*-dynamical system on $\mathcal{A}$.

If d is the infinitesimal generator of α' then for each $a \in D(d), x \in D(\delta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha_t(ax) - ax}{t} &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{a\alpha_t(x) - ax}{t} + \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha'_t(a)\alpha_t(x) - a\alpha_t(x)}{t} \\ &= a \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha_t(x) - x}{t} + \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha'_t(a) - a}{t}\alpha_t(x) \\ &= a\delta(x) + d(a)x\end{aligned}$$

So $ax \in D(\delta)$, $\delta(ax) = a\delta(x) + d(a)x$. Furthermore $D(\delta)$ is a left $D(d)$-module. □

Example 4.4. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a self adjoint operator. By Stone's theorem there is a one-parameter group of unitaries $t \mapsto \alpha_t (\alpha_t \in B(\mathcal{H}))$ which its infinitesimal generator is iT. By Example 2.2, this is a dynamical system on Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module $\mathcal{H}$ with corresponding dynamical system $t \mapsto \alpha_t{'}$ where $\alpha_t{'}(V) = \alpha_t V \alpha_t^*$. Here α_t^* denotes the adjoint of α_t in $B(\mathcal{H})$. If δ and $\delta^{'}$ are infinitesimal generators of α and α' respectively then $\delta = iT$ and by Theorem 4.3 we have $\delta(Vx) = V\delta(x) + \delta^{'}(V)x$ and hence $\delta(V(x)) = V(\delta(x)) + \delta^{'}(V)(x)$. Thus

$$\delta^{'}(V)(x) = \delta(V(x)) - V(\delta(x)) = iT(V(x)) - iV(T(x)).$$

So

$$\delta^{'}(V) = i(TV - VT) = i[T, V],\ V \in D(\delta^{'}).$$

The density of $D(\delta^{'})$ in $K(\mathcal{H})$ and the fact that $\delta^{'}$ has no proper extension [14] implies that $\delta^{'}(V) = i[T, V],\ V \in K(\mathcal{H})$.

We have shown that generalized derivations, like ordinary derivations, behave well under some operations. In particular, closed derivations can be regarded as the infinitesimal generators of some dynamical systems on Hilbert C*-modules. Since Hilbert C*-modules naturally generalize C*-algebras, we may extend the study of approximately dynamical systems to Hilbert C*-modules [11]. We close the paper with a relevant question which may be of special interest in the theory of approximately inner dynamical systems [14]:

Is a generalized derivation approximately inner?

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] M. Amyari and A. Niknam, A note on finsler modules, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. Vol. 29 No. 1 (2003), 77-81.

[2] D. Bakič and B. Guljaš, On class of module maps of Hilbert C^*-modules. Math. Commun.,7,(2002), 177-192.

[3] E. Hille and R. Phillips, Functional Analysis and Semi-groups, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., vol 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1957.

[4] B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in rings, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), 1147-1166.

[5] I. Kaplansky, Modules over operator algebras, Amer. J. Math. 75 (1953), 839-853.

[6] E. C. Lance, Hilbert C^*-Modules, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[7] M. Mathieu, Elementary Operators and Applications, Proceeding of the International Workshop, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

[8] M. S. Moslehian, On full Hilbert C^*-modules. Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. (2) 24 (2001), no. 1, 45-47.

[9] G. J. Murphy, C^*-algebras and Operator Theory, Academic Press, 1990.

[10] A. Niknam, Closable derivations of simple C^*-algebras. Glasgow Math. J. 24(1983)181-183.

[11] A. Niknam, Infinitesimal generators of C*-algebras, J. Potential Analysis 6(1997)1-9.

[12] W. L. Paschke, Inner product modules over B^*-algebras, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 182 (1973),443-468.

[13] M. A. Rieffel, Morita equivalence representations of C^*-algebras, Adv. in Math., 13 (1974), 176-257.

[14] S. Sakai, Operator Algebra in Dynamical Systems. Cambridge Univ. press, 1991.

Gholamreza Abbaspour Tabadkan,

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

E-mail address: tabadkan@math.um.ac.ir

Mohammad Sal Moslehian,

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

E-mail address: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

Assadollah Niknam,

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran

E-mail address: niknam@math.um.ac.ir